1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

٧.

10

9

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MATTHEW TRAVIS HOUSTON, Petitioner.

CALVIN JOHNSON, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01285-MMD-VCF

ORDER

This closed habeas matter is before the Court on Petitioner Matthew Travis Houston's pro se emergency motion to strike a document from the Eighth Judicial District Court's docket and emergency supplement. (ECF Nos. 22, 23 ("Emergency Motions").) On August 16, 2022, the Court dismissed Houston's petition for a writ of habeas corpus without prejudice and declined to issue a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 3.) Judgment was entered and the case was closed. (ECF No. 5.) On August 31, 2022, Houston filed a notice of appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. (ECF No. 11.)

"The filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional significance—it confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its control over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal." Griggs v. Provident Consumer Disc. Co., 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62.1(a) provides that "[i]f a timely motion is made for relief that the court lacks authority to grant because of an appeal that has been docketed and is pending," then the district court may take one of three actions: "(1) defer considering the motion; (2) deny the motion; or (3) state either that it would grant the motion if the court of appeals remands for that purpose or that the motion raises a substantial issue." Because (1) the Court lacks jurisdiction over this case, (2) the Court does not have jurisdiction over the Eighth Judicial District Court's docket, and (3)

Case 2:22-cv-01285-MMD-VCF Document 24 Filed 01/09/23 Page 2 of 2

Houston's emergency supplement merely includes the State of Nevada's filings in an unrelated matter (Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 84886), the Court denies the Emergency Motions under Rule 62.1(a)(2). It is therefore ordered that Houston's emergency motion to strike (ECF No. 22) is denied without prejudice. It is further ordered that Houston's emergency supplement (ECF No. 23) is denied without prejudice. Houston may renew these motions if he desires following the outcome of his appeal. DATED THIS 9th Day of January 2023. CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE